



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Paper No. 18

Duke W. Yee
Carstens, Yee & Cahoon, LLP
P.O. Box 802334
Dallas, Texas 75380

MAIL

DEC 01 2003

DIRECTOR OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

In re Application of: Jeffrey Allen Jones et al.)	
Application No. 09/232,212)	DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: January 19, 1999)	UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.181 TO
For: SYSTEM FOR CONTROLLING)	WITHDRAW HOLDING OF
TRANSMISSION OF)	ABANDONMENT
INFORMATION ON THE INTERNET)	

This is a decision on the petition filed, October 20, 2003, under 37 C.F.R. § 1.181 requesting the Withdrawal of the Holding of Abandonment.(See MPEP § 711.03(c)).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The Application was abandoned for failure to file a timely response to the Office action mailed on February 20, 2003. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed October 3, 2003.

37 C.F.R. § 1.8(b) states:

(b) In the event that correspondence is considered timely filed by being mailed or transmitted in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section, but not received in the Patent and Trademark Office, and the application is held to be abandoned or the proceeding dismissed, terminated, or decided with prejudice, the correspondence will be considered timely if the party who forwarded such correspondence:

(1) Informs the Office of the previous mailing or transmission of the correspondence promptly after becoming aware that the Office has no evidence of receipt of the correspondence,

(2) Supplies an additional copy of the previously mailed or transmitted correspondence and certificate, and

(3) Includes a statement which attests on a personal knowledge basis or to the satisfaction of the Commissioner to the previous timely mailing or transmission. If the correspondence was sent by facsimile transmission, a copy of the sending unit's report confirming transmission may be used to support this statement.


In support of the petition, Petitioner provides a copy of the originally submitted amendment and

response (13 pages) and a copy of the "transmittal document" (1 page) each bearing a certificate of transmission by facsimile signed by Jeannine D. Vasquez dated May 20, 2003, and a copy of an auto-reply response to the facsimile transmission from the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office acknowledging that 15 pages were received (including the cover sheet) on May 20, 2003. The auto-reply obviates the need for the personal knowledge statement of transmission as it provides proof of actual receipt.

Petitioner has established that a timely response was filed on May 20, 2003 within the 3-month shortened statutory period of response and that no extension of time fees were required for the response.

Accordingly, the petition is **GRANTED**. The Notice of Abandonment is **VACATED**.

The application file is being forwarded to the technical support staff for entry of the response. From there, the application file will be forwarded to the examiner for consideration of the response.



Pinchus M. Laufer
Special Programs Examiner
Technology Center 2100
Computer Architecture, Software, and Information Security
(703) 306-4160